

SCIENCE GRADUATE STUDIES COMMITTEE  
ASSESSMENT OF PhD CANDIDACY EXAMINATION

---

Student name / UPEI ID: \_\_\_\_\_

Research discipline: \_\_\_\_\_

Proposed topic: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

The Examining Committee for the above candidate has reached the following decision:

(Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory)

**Written component of the examination:** Expectations include a comprehensive and original interpretation of the literature available in the proposed topic \_\_\_\_\_

**Oral component of the examination:** Expectations include an appropriate/synthetic presentation of the essay and the ability to respond questions regarding the topic proposed and the research discipline identified above \_\_\_\_\_

---

The examination will be approved and the student declared a PhD Candidate if both components of the examination (written and oral) are considered satisfactory by the Examination Committee.

The written component will be considered failed if two or more members of the examining committee deem the quality of the essay unsatisfactory. In this case, the student will be asked to prepare and submit a new written essay within four months for re-assessment.

The oral component will be considered failed if two or more members of the examining committee deem the student's responses to the examination questions unsatisfactory. In this case, the student will be asked to prepare a new oral exam within four months.

---

**Comments (attach a page if needed):**

Chair of the Examining Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Supervisor (Co-Supervisors): \_\_\_\_\_

Member Supervisory Committee \_\_\_\_\_

Member Supervisory Committee \_\_\_\_\_

Departmental External Examiner \_\_\_\_\_

University External Examiner \_\_\_\_\_