



Faculty of Sustainable Design Engineering  
ASSESSMENT OF GRADUATE EXAMINATION

---

Student name / UPEI ID: \_\_\_\_\_ (insert name) ID# \_\_\_\_\_

Thesis title: \_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_  
\_\_\_\_\_

**The Examining Committee for the above candidate has reached the following decision:**

1. \_\_\_\_\_ All the components of the examination were passed satisfactorily, and the thesis is accepted without modification.
  2. \_\_\_\_\_ All the components of the examination were passed satisfactorily, but the thesis is acceptable provided that minor modifications are made to the satisfaction of the Examining Committee.
  3. \_\_\_\_\_ The oral presentation and thesis defence were passed satisfactorily, but the thesis requires major revisions. The thesis is returned to the student for revision and resubmission to his/her supervisory committee.
  4. \_\_\_\_\_ The student failed to pass the thesis defence, and the Examining Committee recommends that the degree not be awarded.
  5. \_\_\_\_\_ The thesis is unsatisfactory and the Examining Committee recommends that the degree not be awarded.
- 

**Comments and further assessment:**

A page containing the comments from the external examiner and/or the committee members should be attached to this document. A copy of those comments will be provided to the student and the supervisor.

In the event that decision 1 or 2 (above) is reached, the members of the Examining Committee (with the exception of the supervisor) deem the overall quality of the thesis (dissertation, presentation, defense) to be:

satisfactory

above satisfactory

outstanding

---

**Signatures of Examining Committee:**

Chair of the Examining Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Supervisor (Co-Supervisors): \_\_\_\_\_

Member of Examining Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Member of Examining Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

External member of Examining Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

External member of Examining Committee: \_\_\_\_\_

Date: \_\_\_\_\_